# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE	)
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE	)
MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC	) CASE NO. 97-010
COMPANY AS BILLED FROM MAY 1, 1996 TO	)
OCTOBER 31, 1996	)

# ORDER

On January 16, 1997, the Commission initiated this proceeding to review Louisville Gas and Electric Company's ("LG&E") environmental surcharge as billed to customers for the six months May 1, 1996 through October 31, 1996. Pursuant to KRS 278.183(3), the Commission must review, at six-month intervals, the past operations of the surcharge and, after hearing, disallow any surcharge amounts that are not just and reasonable and reconcile past surcharge collections with actual costs recoverable.

In anticipation that those parties to LG&E's last six-month review would desire to participate in this proceeding, the Attorney General's Office and the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers were deemed parties to this proceeding. A public hearing was held on April 11, 1997.

# RECONCILIATION OF OVER- AND UNDER-RECOVERIES

The surcharge factor currently used is the result of dividing the monthly Kentucky retail surcharge revenue requirement by the average monthly Kentucky retail revenues.

As LG&E's surcharge is billed on a two-month lag, the amounts billed from May 1996 through October 1996 are based on costs incurred from March 1996 through August 1996.

During the first and second six-month review cases, over- and under-recoveries have been reflected as billing correction factors, which were combined with the surcharge factor for a given month. The net factor was then applied to customer billings. The application of the net surcharge factor during any six month period can cause an over- or under-recovery depending upon the difference between the level of revenues in the expense months and the billing months used to assess the surcharge. This can also result in differences between the over- or under-recoveries ordered to be refunded or collected and the amounts actually realized.

In this review period, LG&E completed the refunding of the over-recovery ordered in Case No. 95-455.<sup>2</sup> In comparing the over-recovery actually refunded with the amount established by the Commission to be refunded, LG&E determined that it had over-refunded \$17,108 during the period.<sup>3</sup> LG&E proposed to net this amount with the over-recovery determined for the review period, which would ensure that the surcharge mechanism provided for "dollar for dollar" recovery of costs. The resulting net over-recovery amount would be expressed as a billing correction factor, as is the current practice in the surcharge mechanism.

The record also supports the use of an alternative methodology which would eliminate the need to determine a billing correction factor. The dollar amount of over-or under-recoveries would be added to or subtracted from the monthly retail surcharge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Case No. 95-455, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Louisville Gas and Electric Company as Billed from May 1, 1995 to October 31, 1995.

Response to the Commission's January 16, 1997 Order, Item 1, page 4 of 7.

revenue requirement, prior to the calculation of the surcharge factor for the month. This "direct" methodology would provide for the "dollar for dollar" recovery of costs and eliminate the current mismatch inherent in the surcharge mechanism.

LG&E acknowledged that the "direct" methodology seemed to accomplish the same objective in a simpler fashion, and indicated that it would fully support the "direct" methodology.<sup>4</sup> LG&E stated that by treating the over- or under-recovery amount in this way, the surcharge factor would be automatically adjusted for any mismatches and the number of billing factors to be tracked would be reduced.

The Commission finds that this "direct" methodology is the most reasonable approach for modifying the surcharge mechanism to recognize over- and under-recoveries as dollar adjustments to the monthly Kentucky retail revenue requirements. The adoption of this modification will require minor adjustments to reporting formats ES Forms 1.0 and 4.0. The modified formats are attached to this Order in Appendix B, and should be used in the monthly surcharge reports filed subsequent to this Order. As noted previously, the second six-month review<sup>5</sup> reflected the determined under-recovery as a billing correction factor. Any mismatches associated with the collection of this under-recovery will take place in the next six-month review. LG&E should prepare a

Response to the Commission's February 12, 1997 Order, Item 2 and Transcript of Evidence, April 11, 1997, at 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Case No. 96-290, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Louisville Gas and Electric Company as Billed from November 1, 1995 to April 30, 1996.

schedule similar to the one submitted in this proceeding to compare the under-recovery actually collected with the amount established by the Commission.

# **COLLECTIONS SUBJECT TO REFUND**

The Commission's Order in Case No. 94-332<sup>6</sup> establishing a surcharge for LG&E has been appealed to the Franklin Circuit Court. In Case No. 95-455, the Commission made all environmental surcharge revenues collected from that date subject to refund pending the final determination in Case No. 94-332. In light of the continuing appeals process, the Commission believes it is appropriate to continue the subject to refund provision.

# SURCHARGE ADJUSTMENT

LG&E determined that for the review period it over-recovered its environmental costs by \$146,375.<sup>7</sup> After recognizing the \$17,108 over-refunded associated with Case No. 95-455, LG&E stated that its net over-recovery was \$129,267.<sup>8</sup> LG&E originally proposed that a negative monthly correction factor of .049 percent be applied to the six billing months following the Commission's decision in this case. LG&E stated that if the Commission adopted the "direct" methodology, the \$129,267 over-recovery should be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Case No. 94-332, The Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Compliance Plan and to Assess a Surcharge Pursuant to KRS 278.183 to Recover Costs of Compliance with Environmental Requirements for Coal Combustion Wastes and By-Products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Response to the Commission's January 16, 1997 Order, Item 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> <u>Id.</u>

divided by 6 and the resulting \$21,545 be netted against the monthly Kentucky retail revenue requirement in each month of the subsequent six-month review period.<sup>9</sup>

The Commission has reviewed and finds reasonable LG&E's calculation of a \$129,267 over-recovery for the review period. The monthly Kentucky retail revenue requirement should be reduced by \$21,545 in each of the six billing months subsequent to the date of this Order.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. LG&E's proposed monthly over-recovery adjustment of \$21,545 is approved.
- 2. All surcharge revenues collected during the six-month period under review shall be subject to refund pending the final resolution of Case No. 94-332. LG&E shall maintain its records in a manner that will enable it, the Commission, or any of its customers to determine the amounts to be refunded and to whom due in the event a refund is ordered.
- 3. The modified reporting formats shown in Appendix B shall replace the corresponding formats authorized in Case No. 96-290. The modified formats shall be used in the monthly surcharge reports filed subsequent to the date of this Order.

Response to the Commission's February 12, 1997 Order, Item 2.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 16th day of May, 1997.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

**Executive Director** 

# APPENDIX A

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 97-010 DATED MAY 16, 1997

# CALCULATION OF OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION - RETAIL ONLY BASIS

	ER)	(97,424) 32,552 152,083 76,224 84,440	146,375	(17,108)	129,267
6	KENTUCKY RETAIL OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION Note 4	(9 3 15 7 7 (10	4	(1	12
(9)	KENTUCKY RETAIL ES REVENUE AS BILLED Note 3	365,825 511,969 649,023 534,065 591,930 396,520	3,049,332	1	II
(5) 12-MO MOV AV KENTLICKY	RETAIL REVENUE [Ind. FAC Excl. ES]	44,184,945 44,284,645 44,339,322 44,328,124 44,310,172 43,592,154	265,039,362		AMOUNT
(4)	KENTUCKY RETAIL E(m) [(2) X (3)]	463,249 479,417 496,940 457,841 507,490 498,020	2,902,957	95-455	T OF OVER-REFUND
(3) EXPENSE MONTH	KENTUCKY RETAIL ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE Note 2	0.866067 0.855971 0.877977 0.895311 0.903986		EPÀYERS, CASE NO.	REVIEW PERIOD, NET OF OVER-REFUND AMOUNT
(2) E(m)	ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REVENUE REQUIREMENT Note 1	534,888 560,086 566,006 511,376 566,482 550,915	3,289,753	REFUNDED TO RATE	
(1)	CURRENT EXPENSE MONTH	MAR 1996 APR 1996 MAY 1996 JUN 1996 JUL 1996 AUG 1996 SEP 1996 OCT 1996	REVIEW PERIOD TOTALS	LESS AMOUNT OVER-REFUNDED TO RATEPAYERS, CASE NO. 95-455	TOTAL OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION FOR

Note 1: E(m) = [(RB/12)(ROR)] + OE - BAS
Note 2: ES Form 4.0, page 2 of 3. See Response to Commission's 01/16/97 Order, Item 1.
Note 3: Surcharge Revenue As Billed from Monthly ES Form 3.0.
Note 4: Billing Monthly Retail Revenue As Billed (Column 6) minus corresponding Expense Month Retail E(m) (Column 4).

## APPENDIX B

# APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 97-010 DATED MAY 16, 1997

INDEX OF MODIFIED REPORTING FORMATS FOR THE LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE [Monthly, 6-Month Review, and 2-Year Review]

# Monthly Reporting Formats:

ES Form 1.0

Calculation of E(m) and Retail Environmental Surcharge

Billing Factor

# Six-Month and 2-Year Review Formats:

ES Form 4.0

**Environmental Surcharge Recap** 

Page 1 of 2 - Calculation of Over/(Under) Collection

Note: While not requiring modification, all other Monthly and Review Formats are required to be filed as currently done.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT CALCULATION OF E(m) AND RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE BILLING FACTOR For the Expense Month of \_\_\_\_\_\_

CALCULATION OF E(m)

	E(m)	=	[(RB/12	)(ROR)] + OE - BAS			
Where:	E(m) RB ROR OE BAS	= = = =	Environ Rate of ("grosse Pollution	ompany Environmental Surcharge Gros mental Compliance Rate Base f Return on Environmental Compliar ed up") for Income Taxes n Control Operating Expenses Proceeds from By-Product and Allowan	nce Rate		
			RB RB/12 ROR OE BAS			= \$ = \$ = \$ = \$	
			E(m)			= \$	
	CA	VLCULA.	TION OF	RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHA BILLING FACTOR	ARGE		
	Retail Allocation	n Ratio f	for Exper	nse Month	=		
	Retail E(m): Adjustment for Net Retail E(m) Retail R(m):			Retail E(m) plus/minus Adjustment for Over/(Under) Recovery Average Monthly Retail Revenue for	= \$ = \$ = \$		
				the 12 Months Ending with the Curren Expense Month	t = \$		
	Retail Environn Net Re			·	=		·
Effectiv	e Date for Billing	g:				•	
Submit	ted By:						
Title:							
Date S	ubmitted:						

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE **ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE RECAP** SIX-MONTH AND TWO-YEAR REVIEW through\_ For the Period

CALCULATION OF OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
Current Expense Month	E(m), Total Company Gross Env. Surch. Revenue Requirement [Note 1]	Expense Month KY Retail Allocation Percentage [Note 2]	KY Retail E(m) [(2) x (3)]	12-Mo. Mov. Av. KY Retail Revenue [incl. FAC Excl. ES]	KY Retail ES Revenue As Billed [Note 3]	KY Retail Over/(Under) Collection [Note 4]
				:		
Review Period Totals						
ver/(Under	Total Over/(Under) Collection for Review Period	w Period				

For each Expense Month included in the Review Period (6-month or 2-year), list the appropriate ratios and revenues.

Note 1: E(m) = [(RB/12)(ROR)] + OE - BAS
Note 2: See ES Form 4.0, page 2 of 2.
Note 3: Surcharge Revenue As Billed from Monthly ES Form 3.0.
Note 4: Billing Month Retail Revenue As Billed (Column 6) minus corresponding Expense Month Retail E(m) (Column 4).